# 16TH EUROPEAN CONFERENCE ON EARTHQUAKE THESSAL©NIKI ENGINEERING 18 - 21 JUNE 2018

Modal characterization of structure and soil-structure interaction using accelerometric data of the French permanet network (RAP-RESFIF): Application to a french indies structure in Basse-pointe, Martinique

Julie REGNIER: Phd, Project-team MouvGS, Cerema, Sophia-Antipolis, France, julie.regnier@cerema.fr Anne DUCHEZ: Engineer, DREC, Cerema, Aix-en-Provence, <u>anne.duchez@cerema.f</u> Nathalie DUFOUR: Phd, Project-team MouvGS, Cerema, Aix-en-Provence, France, nathalie.dufour@cerema.fr Philippe GUEGUEN : Phd, ISTerre-IFSTTAR, Grenoble, France, philippe.gueguen@cerema.fr





# **CASE OF STUDY**

## **Objectives**

#### **Case of study**

(1) Use earthquake and noise recordings to assess the dynamic behavior of a soil-foundation-structure system (2) Understand the behavior of each





- Reinforced concrete frame in the transverse direction
- Walls have been considered as masonry,  $\bullet$
- Partition walls between classrooms considered as non-structural elements.

part of the system (3) Performed numerical modelling of the seismic response of the structure



#### West part

This is a 3-story building built in the 1970's and made of two parts (west and east part).

Roof terrace

# **EARTHQUAKES AND AMBIENT VIBRATIONS DATA**

## **Plan of installation**



#### **24 channels** with 3-D and 1from episensors D Kinemetrics, linked at the acquisition station same free-field The Kephren. (sensor n° 11) is located 10 m from the building. Continuous recordings of ambient vibrations and earthquakes database

## **Earthquakes data recorded**

East part



# **MODAL IDENTIFICATION**

## **Resonance Frequencies** From earthquakes data

## **Numerical simulations**

**3D structural modelling** 

#### Mode shapes

From earthquakes data, the mode shapes : relative displacement of

- For the structure : f<sub>stru</sub> obtain by removing from the top recording the rocking displacement and performing the transfer function between top sensors and base sensors
- Rocking motion using the vertical recordings

 $h\theta_{trans} \approx h \frac{|u_{z3} - u_{z4}|}{|u_{z3} - u_{z4}|}$ (2)

h, I and L are the height, the width and the length of the structure respectively. u<sub>z0</sub>, u<sub>z3</sub> and  $u_{24}$  are the vertical motion at sensor n°0, 3 and 4 respectively.

West part

East part

First transvers



**From ambient vibrations** 

- East and west part were modeled separately
- Soil –structure interaction was ignored to first calibrate structural parameters

#### **Parametric study**

	Model of structure	Modulus of elasticity E of concrete (Mpa)	Modulus of elasticity E of masonry (Mpa)	Weight combination	First frequency (Hz) longitudinal	First frequency (Hz) transversal
1	Model A (*)	20 000	-	G + 0,24 Q	1.4	1.6
2	Model A (*)	20 000	-	G	1.4	1.5
3	Model B (*)	20 000	1 000	G + 0,24 Q	2.6	3.3
4	Model B (*)	20 000	10 000	G +0,24 Q	5.0	6.8
5	Model C (*)	20 000	10 000	G + 0,24 Q	8.4	6.8
	(*) models	are presented below.	Bold type highlights parameters which differ from the reference model (yellow line)			

- Simulation 1 and 2 : Change in live load Q has little impact on the value of the periods (difference of less than 10%)
- Simulation 3, 4 and 5 : three models tested **Model A** - concrete frame only

**Model B** - take into account in addition the masonry walls continuous on entire levels **Model C** - considering additional masonry walls below the openings and bodyguards

Adding masonry walls (from model A to B), even with low modulus of elasticity, make the structure significantly more rigid.

Contrary to earthquake and ambient data, model B shows higher frequency in transversal

direction than in longitudinal one. Adding masonry walls below the openings in the model C let get closer from recorded data.

each component to a reference

#### **From ambient vibration,** from frequency domain decomposition

#### First transversal mode



- Good agreement between earthquakes
  - and noise frequencies
- Numerical frequencies above
- Mode shapes similar in the transversal direction

#### **First longitudinal mode (East part) or rocking foundation?**



- Mode not seen in the noise data
- Could be associated with rocking of the foundations
- Mode shapes similar between earthquakes and numerical model at the expansion join in the

A frequency domain decomposition method (e.g. Brincker, Zhang, & Andersen, 2001)



These results highlight that the ambient vibration and weak motion data are sensitive to the filling masonry and non-structural elements.

Resonance frequencies		First longitudinal frequency (Hz)	First transversal frequency (Hz)
Resonance nequencies	West part	8.4	6.8
	East part	7.5	7.2

Numerical simulation frequencies are close to recorded data especially in longitudinal direction





#### longitudinal direction

- Earthquake and ambient vibrations provide different mode shapes
- The longitudinal deformations of the models and earthquake data are close at the expansion joint

# Conclusions

- The behavior of the high school in Basse-Pointe is complex
- Different behavior of the east and west parts of the building
- Earthquake and noise recordings data provide close results
- Earthquake recordings provide frequencies close to 8.0 Hz not seen in the ambient vibration recordings: Earthquake trigger modes of rocking of the foundation ?
- Numerical simulation provides closer results to the observations when adding all non-structural elements to the model
- Modellings and observations : mode shapes for the first transversal and longitudinal modes are close but frequencies differ
- The modelling was performed considering that east and west part of the building are completely separated